

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,222	12/08/2004	Takayuki Toyama	075834.00400	2430	
33448 ROBERT J. D	7590 06/12/200 EPKE	EXAMINER			
LEWIS T. ST		NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG			
	EPKE & LYONS, LLC SEARS TOWER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, II			2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/517 000	T0./ T/////			
10/517,222	TOYAMA, TAKAYUKI	TOYAMA, TAKAYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit			
LUONG T. NGUYEN	2622			

•	LAUIIIICI	ALC GILL					
	LUONG T. NGUYEN	2622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of times may be availated under the previousno of 30 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply is specified advove, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set of th							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed					
	or the defined copies het receiv	.					
Attachment(s)	o □ 1-t	(DTO 442)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5) Notice of Informal						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/517,222 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I: Figure 1.

Species II: Figures 12A-12B.

Species III: Figures 16A-16B.

After electing one of Species listed above, Applicant is required to elect one of the

following sub-species:

Sub-species 1: Figure 2.

Sub-species 2: Figure 13.

Sub-species 3: Figure 14.

And one of the following sub-species:

Sub-species A: Figures 10A-10B.

Sub-species B: Figure 11.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

Page 3

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

The claims are readable to the different species recite mutually exclusive characteristics of such

species. Currently, there is no generic claim.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT

Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special

technical features for the following reasons: the species are independent or distinct because

claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In

addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an

election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed

(37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 2622

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUONG T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-7315. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID L. OMETZ can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LTN 06/04/08 Application/Control Number: 10/517,222

Page 5

Art Unit: 2622